REPORT OF THE AUDIT OF THE FORMER MENIFEE COUNTY SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2014 TAXES

For The Period April 16, 2014 Through December 31, 2014



MIKE HARMON AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

www.auditor.ky.gov

209 ST. CLAIR STREET FRANKFORT, KY 40601-1817 TELEPHONE 502.564.5841 FACSIMILE 502.564.2912

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

AUDIT OF THE FORMER MENIFEE COUNTY SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2014 TAXES

For The Period April 16, 2014 Through December 31, 2014

The Auditor of Public Accounts has completed the audit of the Sheriff's Settlement - 2014 Taxes for the former Menifee County Sheriff for the period April 16, 2014 through December 31, 2014. We have issued an unmodified opinion on the financial statement taken as a whole. Based upon the audit work performed, the financial statement is presented fairly in all material respects.

Financial Condition:

The former Sheriff collected 2014 taxes of \$1,310,498 for the districts, retaining commissions of \$51,017 to operate the former Sheriff's office. The former Sheriff distributed 2014 taxes of \$1,257,953 to the districts. Taxes of \$32 are due to the districts from the former Sheriff and refunds of \$759 are due to the former Sheriff from the taxing districts.

Report Comments:

2014-001 The Former Sheriff Did Not Make Interest Payments To The School And The Fee Account 2014-002 The Former Sheriff's Office Lacked Adequate Segregation Of Duties

Deposits:

The former Sheriff's deposits were insured and collateralized by bank securities.

<u>CONTENTS</u> PAGE

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	1
SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2014 TAXES	4
Notes To Financial Statement	5
REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON	
COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL	
STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS	9
COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	13



MIKE HARMON AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Matthew G. Bevin, Governor
William M. Landrum III, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Honorable Rick Stiltner, Menifee County Judge/Executive
Honorable Rodney Coffey, Former Menifee County Sheriff
Honorable Toby Wells, Menifee County Sheriff
Members of the Menifee County Fiscal Court

Independent Auditor's Report

Report on the Financial Statement

We have audited the former Menifee County Sheriff's Settlement - 2014 Taxes for the period April 16, 2014 through December 31, 2014 - Regulatory Basis, and the related notes to the financial statement.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statement

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statement in accordance with accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the laws of Kentucky to demonstrate compliance with the Commonwealth of Kentucky's regulatory basis of accounting as described in Note 1. Management is also responsible for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of a financial statement that is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statement based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the *Audit Guide for Sheriff's Tax Settlements* issued by the Auditor of Public Accounts, Commonwealth of Kentucky. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statement, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statement in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statement.



To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Matthew G. Bevin, Governor
William M. Landrum III, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Honorable Rick Stiltner, Menifee County Judge/Executive
Honorable Rodney Coffey, Former Menifee County Sheriff
Honorable Toby Wells, Menifee County Sheriff
Members of the Menifee County Fiscal Court

Auditor's Responsibility (Continued)

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Basis for Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

As described in Note 1 of the financial statement, the financial statement is prepared by the County Sheriff on the basis of the accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the laws of Kentucky to demonstrate compliance with the Commonwealth of Kentucky's regulatory basis of accounting, which is a basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The effects on the financial statement of the variances between the regulatory basis of accounting described in Note 1 and accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, although not reasonably determinable, are presumed to be material.

Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

In our opinion, because of the significance of the matter discussed in the Basis for Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles paragraph, the financial statement referred to above does not present fairly, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, the financial position of each fund of the former County Sheriff, as of December 31, 2014, or changes in financial position or cash flows thereof for the year then ended.

Opinion on Regulatory Basis of Accounting

In our opinion, the financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the taxes charged, credited, and paid for the period April 16, 2014 through December 31, 2014 of the former Menifee County Sheriff, in accordance with the basis of accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the Commonwealth of Kentucky as described in Note 1.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated February 29, 2016 on our consideration of the former Menifee County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the former Menifee County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the former Menifee County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Matthew G. Bevin, Governor
William M. Landrum III, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Honorable Rick Stiltner, Menifee County Judge/Executive
Honorable Rodney Coffey, Former Menifee County Sheriff
Honorable Toby Wells, Menifee County Sheriff
Members of the Menifee County Fiscal Court

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards (Continued)

Based on the results of our audit, we have presented the accompanying comments and recommendations, included herein, which discusses the following report comments:

2014-001 The Former Sheriff Did Not Make Interest Payments To The School And The Fee Account 2014-002 The Former Sheriff's Office Lacked Adequate Segregation Of Duties

Respectfully submitted,

Mike Harmon

Auditor of Public Accounts

February 29, 2016

MENIFEE COUNTY RODNEY COFFEY, FORMER SHERIFF SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2014 TAXES

For The Period April 16, 2014 Through December 31, 2014

Charges	Con	inty Tayas		Special ing Districts	Sel	ool Tayes	St.	ate Taxes
Charges	<u>C01</u>	inty Taxes	Тах	ing Districts	301	1001 Taxes	<u> </u>	ate Taxes
Real Estate	\$	146,840	\$	610,169	\$	605,395	\$	157,145
Tangible Personal Property		9,313		28,824		31,557		21,554
Fire Protection Increases Through Exonerations		1,343 101		417		415		108
Franchise Taxes		773		2,403		2,395		100
Limestone, Sand and Gravel Reserves		218		888		825		233
Adjusted to Sheriff's Receipt		(95)		(94)		(90)		(28)
Gross Chargeable to Sheriff		158,493		642,607		640,497		179,012
Credits								
Exonerations		1,438		5,948		5,924		1,551
Discounts		2,205		8,908		8,892		2,549
Official Receipt for Incoming Sheriff		26,506		109,121		108,300		28,769
Total Credits		30,149		123,977		123,116		32,869
Taxes Collected		128,344		518,630		517,381		146,143
Less: Commissions *		5,455		18,656		20,695		6,211
Taxes Due		122,889		499,974		496,686		139,932
Taxes Paid		122,707		499,207		496,302		139,737
Refunds (Prior Year)		228		924		859		244
Due District or								
(Refunds Due Sheriff)								
as of Completion of Audit	\$	(46)	\$	(157)	\$	(475)	\$	(49)
				**				
* Commissions:								
4.25% on \$ 597,466								
4% on \$ 616,481								
1% on \$ 96,551								
** Special Taxing Districts:								
Library District			\$	(29)				
Health District				(32)				
Extension District				(25)				
Soil Conservation District				(23)				
Ambulance District				(43)				
Fire District				(37)				
Watershed District				32				
Due District or								
(Refunds Due Sheriff)			\$	(157)				

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this financial statement.

MENIFEE COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT

December 31, 2014

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

A. Fund Accounting

The Sheriff's office tax collection duties are limited to acting as an agent for assessed property owners and taxing districts. A fund is used to account for the collection and distribution of taxes. A fund is a separate accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain government functions or activities.

B. Basis of Accounting

The financial statement has been prepared on a regulatory basis of accounting, which demonstrates compliance with the laws of Kentucky and is a special purpose framework. Basis of accounting refers to when charges, credits, and taxes paid are reported in the settlement statement. It relates to the timing of measurements regardless of the measurement focus.

Charges are sources of revenue which are recognized in the tax period in which they become available and measurable. Credits are reductions of revenue which are recognized when there is proper authorization. Taxes paid are uses of revenue which are recognized when distributions are made to the taxing districts and others.

C. Cash and Investments

KRS 66.480 authorizes the Sheriff's office to invest in the following, including but not limited to, obligations of the United States and of its agencies and instrumentalities, obligations and contracts for future delivery or purchase of obligations backed by the full faith and credit of the United States, obligations of any corporation of the United States government, bonds or certificates of indebtedness of this state, and certificates of deposit issued by or other interest-bearing accounts of any bank or savings and loan institution which are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or which are collateralized, to the extent uninsured, by any obligation permitted by KRS 41.240(4).

Note 2. Deposits

The former Menifee County Sheriff maintained deposits of public funds with depository institutions insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) as required by KRS 66.480(1)(d). According to KRS 41.240(4), the depository institution should pledge or provide sufficient collateral which, together with FDIC insurance, equals or exceeds the amount of public funds on deposit at all times. In order to be valid against the FDIC in the event of failure or insolvency of the depository institution, this pledge or provision of collateral should be evidenced by an agreement between the Sheriff and the depository institution, signed by both parties, that is (a) in writing, (b) approved by the board of directors of the depository institution or its loan committee, which approval must be reflected in the minutes of the board or committee, and (c) an official record of the depository institution.

MENIFEE COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT December 31, 2014 (Continued)

Note 2. Deposits (Continued)

Custodial Credit Risk - Deposits

Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a depository institution failure, the Sheriff's deposits may not be returned. The former Menifee County Sheriff did not have a deposit policy for custodial credit risk but rather followed the requirements of KRS 41.240(4). As of December 31, 2014, all deposits were covered by FDIC insurance or a properly executed collateral security agreement.

Note 3. Tax Collection Period

The real and personal property tax assessments were levied as of January 1, 2014. Property taxes were billed to finance governmental services for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015. Liens are effective when the tax bills become delinquent. The collection period for these assessments was October 30, 2014 through December 31, 2014.

Note 4. Interest Income

The former Menifee County Sheriff earned \$85 as interest income on 2014 taxes. As of February 29, 2016, the former Sheriff owed \$32 in interest to the school district and \$53 in interest to his fee account.

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS



MIKE HARMON AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

The Honorable Rick Stiltner, Menifee County Judge/Executive Honorable Rodney Coffey, Former Menifee County Sheriff Honorable Toby Wells, Menifee County Sheriff Members of the Menifee County Fiscal Court

> Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards*

Independent Auditor's Report

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the former Menifee County Sheriff's Settlement - 2014 Taxes for the period April 16, 2014 through December 31, 2014 - Regulatory Basis and the related notes to the financial statement and have issued our report thereon dated February 29, 2016. The County Sheriff's financial statement is prepared on a regulatory basis of accounting, which demonstrates compliance with the laws of Kentucky and is a special purpose framework.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statement, we considered the former Menifee County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statement, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the former Menifee County Sheriff's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the former Menifee County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. However, as described in the accompanying comments and recommendations, we identified a certain deficiency in internal control that we consider to be a material weakness.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statement will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. We consider the deficiency described in the accompanying comments and recommendations as item 2014-002 to be a material weakness.



Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards* (Continued)

Compliance And Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the former Menifee County Sheriff's financial statement is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed an instance of noncompliance or other matters that is required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards* and which is described in the accompanying comments and recommendations as item 2014-001.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Respectfully submitted,

Mike Harmon

Auditor of Public Accounts

February 29, 2016



MENIFEE COUNTY RODNEY COFFEY, FORMER SHERIFF COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

For The Period April 16, 2014 Through December 31, 2014

STATE LAWS AND REGULATIONS:

2014-001 The Former Sheriff Did Not Make Interest Payments To The School And The Fee Account

The former Sheriff did not distribute interest earned on tax collections to either the school or the fee account. The former Sheriff earned \$85 of interest in his 2014 tax account. KRS 134.140(2) requires the Sheriff to pay monthly "that part of the investment earnings for the month which are attributable to the investment of school taxes." According to KRS 134.140(4), the balance of investment income should be paid to the Sheriff's operating account. The Sheriff should distribute the investment earnings at the same time as monthly tax collections. Based on the amount of interest earned, the former Sheriff owes \$32 to the school district and \$53 to the fee account. We recommend the former Sheriff pay the amount of interest due to the school and fee account.

Former Sheriff's Response: No response.

INTERNAL CONTROL - MATERIAL WEAKNESS:

2014-002 The Former Sheriff's Office Lacked Adequate Segregation Of Duties

The former Sheriff's office lacked adequate segregation of duties. The bookkeeper collected and deposited tax receipts, recorded all transactions, prepared the monthly report, and reconciled the bank account. By not segregating these duties, there was an increased risk of misappropriation of assets either by error or fraud. Good internal controls dictate the same employee should not handle, record, and reconcile receipts. If these duties could not be segregated, the former Sheriff should have performed the following compensating controls to help offset this weakness:

- Recounted and made the daily deposits.
- Agreed daily tax collection total to the receipts ledger and deposit slip.
- Agreed monthly tax reports to the receipts ledger and disbursements ledger.
- Reviewed the monthly bank reconciliation.

Former Sheriff's Response: No response.